

Geometric combinatorics: supplementary notes 2*

If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite point set, then $P' \subset P$ is a weak ε -net for P with respect to the convex sets if for every convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ containing at least $\varepsilon|P|$ points of P , we have $P' \cap C \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1 (Weak ε -net theorem). *If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is finite, then there is a weak $(1/r)$ -net P' for P with respect to convex sets of size $|P'| \leq f(r, d)$.*

Lemma 2. *If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an n -point set, then there is a hyperplane h that partitions P into two sets, each of size at least $n/2 - 1$.*

Proof. For a generic direction v , every hyperplane with normal v contains at most one point of P . Pick such a direction v , and a hyperplane h normal to v so that P is on one side of h . Slide h towards P in direction v . As the hyperplane slides, the number of points of P in the two parts changes by at most one at a time. \square

Proof of the weak ε -net theorem. Proof is by induction on d and $\lceil \log_{4/3} r \rceil$. The base case $d = 1$ is easy, whereas the base case $r = 1$ is trivial. Thus, suppose $d \geq 2$. Let h be a hyperplane separating P_1 and P_2 into two sets of size at least $n/2 - 1$ each as above. Let P'_1 and P'_2 be weak $(4/3r)$ -nets for P_1 and P_2 respectively. Note that if C is a convex set satisfying $C \cap P'_1 = \emptyset$ and $|C \cap P| \geq (1/r)n$, then

$$|C \cap P_2| \geq |C \cap P| - (4/3r)|P_1| \geq (1/r)n - (4/3r)(n/2 + 1) \geq (1/3r)n - 2.$$

Similarly, $C \cap P'_2 = \emptyset$ and $|C \cap P| \geq (1/r)n$ imply $|C \cap P_1| \geq (1/3r)n - 2$. For points $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denote by $[a, b]$ the line segment connecting p_1 and p_2 . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{[p_1, p_2] : p_1 \in P_1, p_2 \in P_2\}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \{s \cap h : s \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Note that $C \cap (P'_1 \cup P'_2) = \emptyset$ and $|C \cap P| \geq (1/r)n$ together imply that C contains at least $((1/3r)n - 2)^2$ line segments from \mathcal{F} , and hence the same number of points of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. By the induction on d there is a weak $(1/10r^2)$ -net \tilde{P}' for $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ of size at most $f(10r^2, d - 1)$. The set $P'_1 \cup P'_2 \cup \tilde{P}'$ is thus a weak $(1/r)$ -net whenever

$$\frac{1}{10r^2} \geq \frac{((1/3r)n - 2)^2}{|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}|} \geq \frac{(1/9r^2)n^2 - O(n/r)}{n^2/4}.$$

Thus $P'_1 \cup P'_2 \cup \tilde{P}'$ may fail to be a weak $(1/r)$ -net for P only if $n = O(r)$, in which case P itself is a weak $(1/r)$ -net of size depending only on r . \square

*These notes are from <http://www.borisbukh.org/GeoCombEaster10/supnotes2.pdf>.

A family \mathcal{F} of convex sets is called a (p, q) -family if among every q members of \mathcal{F} there are p that intersect. Helly theorem asserts that if \mathcal{F} is a $(d+1, d+1)$ -family, then there is a point x common to all the member of \mathcal{F} . The following result is a version of this for (p, q) -families. A family of hyperplanes in general position shows that (d, d) -family need not to have a point common to many members of \mathcal{F} . Also, it might happen that a $(p, q_1 + q_2 - 1)$ -family is a union of a (p, q_1) -family and a (p, q_2) -family that are disjoint from one another, it is no longer possible to guarantee a single point common to all member of a (p, q) -family.

Theorem 3 ((p,q)-theorem). *Let $p \geq d + 1$. If \mathcal{F} is a (p, q) -family in \mathbb{R}^d , then there is a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of size $|X| \leq f(p, q, d)$ such that every $C \in \mathcal{F}$ meets X .*

First we need to establish a weaker result.

Lemma 4. *If \mathcal{F} is a $(d + 1, q)$ -family in \mathbb{R}^d , then there is a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ common to $\varepsilon|\mathcal{F}|$ members of \mathcal{F} , where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(q, d) > 0$.*

Proof. By double-counting the number of $(d + 1)$ -tuples of sets in \mathcal{F} that have non-empty intersection is at least

$$\frac{\binom{|\mathcal{F}|}{q}}{\binom{|\mathcal{F}|-(d+1)}{q-(d+1)}} \geq \frac{|\mathcal{F}|^q/q^q}{|\mathcal{F}|^{q-(d+1)}} = |\mathcal{F}|^{d+1}/q^q.$$

The existence of the desired point y follows from the fractional Helly theorem. \square

Proof of the (p, q) -theorem. As every (p, q) -family is a $(p - 1, q)$ -family, we can restrict to the case $p = d + 1$. By weak ε -net theorem it suffices to find a set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that meets every $C \in \mathcal{F}$ in at least $\delta|Y|$ points where $\delta = \delta(q, d)$. Let ε be as in the lemma above. With the hindsight choose δ as to satisfy $2^{1-\delta} > 2 - \varepsilon$. For purposes of this proof, let “cloning” of a set mean replacing a set by two copies of itself. Consider the following algorithm to construct Y .

- 1) Initialise: $Y_0 = \emptyset, \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}$
 - 2) At stage $i - 1$, pick a point y in at least $\varepsilon|\mathcal{F}|$ members of \mathcal{F} .
 - 3) Let $Y_i = Y_{i-1} \cup \{y\}$ and let \mathcal{F}_i be obtained from \mathcal{F}_{i-1} by cloning all the members not containing y .
 - 4) Terminate: output $Y = Y_s$.
- } Repeat for s stages

Note that $|\mathcal{F}_i| \leq |\mathcal{F}_{i-1}| + (1 - \varepsilon)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}| = (2 - \varepsilon)|\mathcal{F}|$. Thus $|\mathcal{F}_s| \leq (2 - \varepsilon)^s|\mathcal{F}|$. If a set $C \in \mathcal{F}$ contains fewer than $\delta|Y|$ members of Y , then it is cloned into at least $2^{|Y|-\delta|Y|} = 2^{(1-\delta)s}$ sets. Thus,

$$2^{(1-\delta)s} \leq (2 - \varepsilon)^s|\mathcal{F}|.$$

By the choice of δ it follows that if s is large enough, then there is no set $C \in \mathcal{F}$ containing fewer than $\delta|Y|$ points of Y . \square